

Hope After Harm State scoring breakdown

The Center for American Progress and Common Justice developed the State Victim Compensation Statute Rubric as a common framework through which survivors, advocates, legislators, administrators, and the public can better understand gaps and opportunities for improvement in state victim compensation law. This document provides the complete breakdown of how Iowa scored in the State Victim Compensation Statute Rubric. For each scoring criteria, the raw score and explanation is given based on existing statute and/or administrative code. States are evaluated based on 30 separate criteria that are grouped into four categories. The raw score and the

weighted score—calculated by applying the category multiplier, a number between 1 and 2 determined based on insights gathered from survivor focus groups—are presented at the top of each category. The rubric's categories and corresponding multipliers are, in order of appearance: awareness and accessibility (x1.25), adequate compensation (x1), eligibility barriers (x1.75), and experience with the process (x1.5). This rubric is intended to serve as a tool for those seeking to reform victim compensation statute in Iowa and to ultimately ensure their victim compensation program better serves all survivors of violence.

lowa

Total score: 36/57.25

Awareness and accessibility

States are evaluated based on language accessibility, procedures for informing survivors of their right to apply for victim compensation, and time requirements for reporting harm or applying for compensation.

Weighted score: 5.63/7.5

Multiplier: 1.25 Raw score: 4.5/6

Raw score: 4.5/6			
Category	Points Awarded For	Evaluation	Explanation
Inform victim requirement	It is important that state statute, at a bare minimum, requires responding law enforcement officers, medical providers, or the district or state attorneys general interacting with survivors of violence to proactively inform survivors of the availability of victim compensation. States receive the maximum of 1 point if, in statute pertaining to victim compensation or in the state's victims' bill of rights, there is an active requirement that the responsible law enforcement agency, medical provider, district attorney, or state's attorney must inform victims or survivors of the availability of victim compensation. States receive 0 points if there is no such active requirement to inform victims or survivors of the availability of victim compensation. In cases where state statute or the state's victims' bill of rights indicates that victims and survivors of victims have a	1/1	lowa Code § 915.13 states, "The county attorney shall notify a victim registered with the county attorney's office of the possibility of assistance through the crime victim compensation program, and the procedures for applying for that assistance." As lowa explicitly requires in statute that the attorney must proactively provide information about victim compensation, lowa receives 1 point for this category.
	right to be informed of victim compensation but fails to designate who is responsible for informing them about victim compensation, states receive 0 points .		



Category Points Awarded For Evaluation Explanation

Language accessibility

It is important that survivors do not face unnecessary language barriers when applying for victim compensation, which may make applying for this financial relief inaccessible to them. States should make application materials available in a variety of languages that reflect the needs of their population.

States receive the maximum of **1 point** if application materials are available on the administering agency's official website in all languages that at least 5 percent of all households in the state speak at home.

States receive **0 points** if application materials are not available in at least one language spoken by more than 5 percent of the state's households.

According to the <u>U.S. Census Bureau</u>, English is the only language that 5 percent or more of households in lowa speak. lowa therefore receives 1 point for this category.

1/1

Time limits: Reporting harm to law enforcement

Immediately following their victimization, survivors may not know about compensation or may not have the emotional capacity to go through an arduous application process. States should eliminate time limits for reporting violence and/or applying for victim compensation, which can work against the interest of healing.

States receive the maximum of **2 points** if there is no statutorily defined limitation on when survivors can report their harm to proper authorities to still be eligible to receive a victim compensation award.

States receive **1 point** if, in statute, victims are required to have reported their victimization to the proper authorities within a specified timeframe to be eligible to receive a victim compensation award but the state will make an exception to this requirement under a "good cause" clause or similar exception.

States receive **0 points** if, in statute, victims are required to have reported their victimization to the proper authorities within a specified timeframe to be eligible to receive a victim compensation award and there is no statutorily defined waiver or exception to this rule.

States that require victims to have reported their victimization to the proper authorities within a specified timeframe to be eligible to receive a victim compensation award but also codify specific exceptions – including but not limited to being a victim of sexual assault or human trafficking and being a minor (under the age of 18) at the time of victimization – receive an additional **0.5 points**.

1/2 I.A.C. 61–9.29(4) states, "In determining whether there is good cause for waiving the requirement to report a crime to law enforcement within 72 hours of the occurrence of the crime, the victim's age, physical condition, psychological state, cultural or linguistic barriers, and any compelling health or safety reasons that would jeopardize the well-being of the victim may be considered." As Iowa requires victims to report their harm to law enforcement within 72 hours but creates an exception for good cause shown, Iowa receives 1 point for this category.



Category

Points Awarded For

Evaluation Explanation

Time limits: Applying for victim compensation Immediately following their victimization, survivors may not know about compensation or may not have the emotional capacity to go through an arduous application process. States should eliminate time limits for reporting violence and/or applying for victim compensation, which can work against the interest of healing.

States receive the maximum of **2 points** if, in statute, no limitation is placed on when victims can apply for compensation following their incident of harm to still be eligible to receive an award.

States receive **1 point** if, in statute, a limitation is placed on when victims can apply for compensation following their incident of harm to still be eligible to receive an award but will make exceptions to this requirement under a "good cause" clause or similar exception.

States receive **0 points** if, in statute, a limitation is placed on when victims can apply for compensation following their harm and still be eligible to receive an award, and there is no exception made to this rule.

States that require victims to file an application for a victim compensation award within a specified timeframe from the time of their harm but also codify specific exceptions – including but not limited to being a victim of sexual assault or human trafficking and being a minor (under the age of 18) at the time of victimization – receive an additional **0.5 points**.

1.5/2

LA.C. 61 – 9.28(915) states, "An applicant may file an application for compensation by telephone or in writing within two years of the occurrence or discovery of a crime pursuant to lowa Code section 915.84(1). For a victim of sexual abuse when the offender has been referred pursuant to lowa Code chapter 229A, the date of the discovery of the crime shall be considered to be the date when the referral was made. The department may waive the requirements of lowa Code section 915.84(1) if good cause is shown." For establishing a time limit to apply for victim compensation but allowing extensions on this requirement for good cause shown or special victim circumstances, lowa receives 1.5 points for this category.



Adequate compensation

States are evaluated based on the explicit inclusion of expense categories survivors encounter over the course of their healing, as well as having adequate compensation caps to meet survivors' needs.

Weighted score: 11/14 Multiplier: 1 Raw score: 11/14

Category	Points Awarded For	Evaluation	Explanation
Eligible expenses	As almost all awards are given to survivors through reimbursement or payments to vendors, states should make explicitly clear through statute, regulation, and publicly available documents which expenses will and will not be covered and make a wide range of expenses eligible to give survivors flexibility in the support they receive.		
	States receive 1 point for each expense category explicitly included in either state statute or another publicly available resource, such as an online brochure produced by the state administering agency.		
	States receive 0 points for each expense category that is not explicitly included in either state statute or a publicly available resource.		
	Crime scene cleanup	1/1	Crime scene cleanup is listed as an eligible expense under lowa Code § 915.86, capped at \$1,000.
	Replacement for property lost, damaged as a result of harm, or confiscated for the purpose of evidence collection	1/1	Replacement of clothing held as evidence is listed as an eligible expense under lowa Code § 915.86, capped at \$200.
	Relocation expenses	1/1	Emergency relocation is listed as an eligible expense under lowa Code § 915.86, capped at \$1,000.
	Future economic support or loss of support for dependents	1/1	Loss of support is listed as an eligible expense under lowa Code § 915.86, capped at \$4,000.
	Security improvements	1/1	Security items are listed as an eligible expense under lowa Code § 915.86, capped at \$500 per residence.
	Travel	1/1	Transportation costs to medical or counseling services are listed as an eligible expense under Lowa Code \&\ 915.86 , capped at \\$1,000.
	Bereavement leave	0/1	Bereavement leave is not explicitly listed as an eligible expense under lowa Code § 915.86.
	Nontraditional healing modalities	1/1	Medical care sanctioned by sovereign nations and Tribes is listed as an eligible expense under <u>IAC</u> 61-9.35(1).



Category	Points Awarded For	Evaluation	Explanation
	Replacement services/child care	1/1	Loss of income from work that the victim's parent or caretaker would have performed is listed as an eligible expense under lowa Code \\$ 915.86 , capped at \$1,000 per caretaker.
	Legal fees, including fees related to compensation process (applications, claims, appeals, etc.)	0/1	Legal fees are not explicitly listed as an eligible expense under lowa Code § 915.86.
	Accessibility modifications	1/1	Medical equipment and property alteration is listed as an eligible expense under <u>IAC 61-9.35(1)</u> .
Maximum allowable compensation	While not every incident of harm and its corresponding expenses will necessitate a maximum compensation award, the amount of victim compensation available to survivors is often not enough to meet their financial needs. States should ensure their compensation caps are aligned with the full extent of need. States receive the maximum of 2 points if the statutorily defined maximum compensation limit for all victims is equal to or above the estimated average cost of harm per victim of robbery in the state, after adjusting for cost of living. States receive 1 point if the maximum compensation limit is equal to or above the estimated average cost of harm per victim of robbery in the state, after adjusting for cost of living, but only under the condition that the victim has suffered a "permanent" or "catastrophic" injury. States receive 0 points if, under no condition, the statutorily defined maximum compensation limit, after adjusting for the cost	2/2	lowa does not establish in statute an aggregate maximum compensation limit; however, it does establish limits on each expense category. According to lowa's Crime Victim Compensation Program Quick Reference Guide for Primary Victims, in total, the maximum allowable award is \$48,200. Adjusted for the cost of living in lowa, this is equivalent to \$54,299.46. The estimated average cost of harm per victim of robbery, adjusted for the cost of living in lowa, is \$52,022.79. As the maximum compensation award is above this estimate and does not make this maximum allowable award contingent on proving catastrophic or permanent injury, lowa receives 2 points for this category.
Maximum allowable	of living, meets or exceeds the estimated average cost of harm per victim of robbery in the state.	0/1	Under Iowa Code § 915.86, the maximum award
Maximum allowable compensation for funeral and burial expenses	Due to the costliness and gravity of laying a loved one to rest, the sub-cap for funeral and burial expenses is considered individually.	0/1	allowable for funeral and burial related expenses is \$7,500. Adjusted for the cost of living in lowa, this is equivalent to \$8,449.09. The 2023 estimated cost of
	States receive the maximum of 1 point if, after adjusting for the cost of living, the statutorily defined maximum compensation limit for expenses related to funeral or burial services meets or exceeds the estimated 2023 median cost of burial with a vault.		a funeral with a vault, adjusted for the cost of living in lowa, is \$8,872.26. Iowa therefore receives 0 points for this category.
	States receive 0 points if the statutorily defined maximum compensation limit for expenses related to funeral or burial services is below the estimated 2023 median cost of burial with a vault, after adjusting for the cost of living.		



Eligibility barriers

States are evaluated based on the removal of harmful and restrictive policies, which reinforce existing inequities and penalize those at the margins.

Weighted score: 14.88/29.75

Multiplier: 1.75 Raw score: 8.5/17

Category

Points Awarded For

Evaluation Explanation

1/2

Mandatory police reporting

Given that many survivors are uncomfortable dealing with law enforcement and the subjective, often biased nature of police reporting, states should not require survivors to report their victimization to law enforcement to be eligible to receive victim compensation and instead accept documentation from agencies not affiliated with the criminal legal system.

States receive a maximum of **2 points** if, in statute, victims are permitted to report their harm to entities not affiliated with the criminal legal system or a government agency – such as medical, mental health, or victim service providers – to demonstrate they have been a victim of violence.

States receive **1 point** if, in statute, victims are required to report their harm to law enforcement to demonstrate they have been a victim of violence but provide statutory exceptions due to good cause shown.

States receive **0 points** if, in statute, victims are required to report their harm to law enforcement and the state does not codify a good cause waiver to this requirement.

States that require victims and survivors of victims to report their harm to police but also codify specific exceptions – including but not limited to being a victim of sexual assault or human trafficking and being a minor (under the age of 18) at the time of victimization – receive an additional **0.5 points**.

I.A.C. 61–9.29 states, "A person is not eligible for compensation unless the crime is reported to law enforcement pursuant to lowa Code section 915.84(2). The department may waive the requirements of lowa Code section 915.84(2) if good cause is shown." I.A.C. 61–9.29(4) defines good cause to mean "the victim's age, physical condition, psychologicalstate, cultural or linguistic barriers, and any compelling health or safety reasons that would jeopardize the well-being of the victim may be considered." Because lowa requires victims to report their harm to law enforcement but waives this requirement for good cause, lowa receives 1 point for this category.



Category

Points Awarded For

Evaluation Explanation

Police cooperation

Victim compensation application review processes are made less racially equitable by requiring and unnecessarily relying on the subjectivity of law enforcement's reports regarding victim cooperation. States should not assess victims' cooperativeness with law enforcement when making determinations on victim compensation claims.

States receive a maximum of **2 points** if, in statute, they do not make victim compensation eligibility contingent on the victim and/or applicant proving they have cooperated with law enforcement investigating their harm.

States receive **1 point** if, in statute, they make eligibility contingent on the victim and/or applicant proving that they have cooperated with law enforcement, but they also codify "good cause" or "reasonableness" exceptions to waive this requirement.

States receive **0 points** if, in statute, claimants are required to demonstrate that they have cooperated with law enforcement to be eligible to receive an award and do not include a "good cause" exception.

States that do require cooperation with law enforcement to be eligible, but also codify any specific exceptions, including but not limited to, being a victim of sexual assault or human trafficking and being a minor (under the age of 18) at the time of victimization, receive an additional **0.5 points**.

1.5/2

I.A.C. 61-9.30 states, "To be eligible for compensation, the victim of crime must cooperate with the reasonable requests of law enforcement." However, I.A.C. 61 - 9.30(2) describes that the board may consider mitigating circumstances which would impede a victim's cooperation with law enforcement, including the "victim's age, physical condition, psychological state, cultural or linguistic barriers, and any compelling health or safety reasons." Furthermore, I.A.C. 61 - 9.30(4) specifies that victims of sexual abuse satisfy this consideration by undergoing a sexual abuse evidentiary examination. Because Iowa requires victims to cooperate with law enforcement but considers mitigating circumstances amounting to a good cause clause and makes exceptions for specific victim circumstances, lowa receives 1.5 points for this category.

Contributory conduct: Reduction or denial for victim

Contributory conduct policies deny or reduce the amount of victim compensation someone can receive based on the victim's alleged role in their own harm. These policies perpetuate the false narrative that victims and survivors must be "innocent" or "perfect" to receive support and should be eliminated. States should remove this subjectively determined barrier to access.

States receive the maximum of **2 points** if, in statute, the state does not include provisions to deny or reduce victim compensation due to the victim's alleged conduct in the event leading up to their harm.

States receive **0.5 points** if, in statute, the state includes a provision to deny or reduce a victim compensation award based on the victim's alleged conduct but waives this consideration under specified mitigating circumstances, including but not limited to being a victim of sexual assault or human trafficking and being a minor (under the age of 18) at the time of victimization.

State receives **0 points** for this category if, in statute, the state includes a provision to deny or reduce a victim compensation award based on the victim's alleged conduct and does not codify any waivers under specified mitigating circumstances.

0/2 I.A.C. 61-9.31 states, "The division shall reduce or disqualify compensation when there is a causal relationship between the contributory conduct on the part of the victim and the victim's injury or death." As lowa considers contributory conduct in the reduction or denial of an award and does not make explicit in statute any exceptions to this consideration, lowa receives 0 points for this category.



Category **Points Awarded For Evaluation Explanation** When a loved one is lost to violence, survivors of the victim must Contributory conduct: 0/1 I.A.C. 61-9.31 does not specify any exceptions Reduction or denial do the difficult work of putting them to rest and rebuilding their from the contributory conduct clause for survivors for survivors of victim lives after loss. They should not be punished based on a subjective of victims. Iowa therefore receives 0 points for this determination that the victim's actions contributed to their death. category. State receives the maximum of 1 point if, in statute, in cases of applications made by survivors of victims, the conduct of the victim is not considered. Points are awarded if this is the case for any type of compensation. For example, a state may choose not to consider contributory conduct in cases where survivors of victims apply for burial or counseling expenses. States that do not include provisions to deny or reduce victim compensation based on contributory conduct also receive 1 point for this category. States receive O points if, in statute, no exceptions are made for survivors of victims when considering contributory conduct of the victim. Contributory conduct: The practice of labeling a person as being affiliated with a gang 0/1 I.A.C. 61-9.31(4)(b) states that the board may reduce Consideration of or having participated in gang-related activities is fraught with or deny a victim compensation award based on gang affiliation misinformation and racial profiling. Perceived gang affiliation contributory conduct if "the crime was a direct result should not be used to withhold critical support from a victim of of gang activity, including gang initiation, or was violence. inflicted as retaliation for prior gang activity in which the victim participated in a criminal street gang." lowa States receive the maximum of 1 point if, in statute, gang therefore receives 0 points for this category. affiliation or association is not mentioned as a factor for consideration in the approval, denial, or reduction of victim compensation. States that do not include provisions to deny or reduce victim compensation based on contributory conduct also receive 1 point for this category. States receive O points for this category if, in statute or regulations, a victim or claimant's association or affiliation with a gang can be considered in the approval, denial, or reduction of their victim compensation award. Contributory conduct: States receive 1 point if, in statute or regulations, involvement 1/1 As no specific mention of drug/alcohol use is included Consideration of with or use of illicit drugs is not mentioned as a potential factor in I.A.C. 61 - 9.31 to be considered as contributory illicit drug or alcohol in the approval, denial, or reduction of victim compensation. conduct, Iowa receives 1 point for this category. involvement or use States which do not include provisions to deny or reduce victim compensation based on contributory conduct also receive 1 point for this category. States receive O points for this category if, in statute or regulations, a victim or claimant's involvement with or use of illicit drugs can be considered in the approval, denial, or reduction of their victim compensation award.



Category

Points Awarded For

Evaluation Explanation

2/2

Conviction status or history

Having a conviction history does not prevent someone from becoming a victim of violence, nor does it make someone less deserving of support. Conviction histories should not be used to withhold critical support from a victim of violence.

States receive the maximum **2 points** if, in statute, there is either: 1) no explicit language that bars a victim or claimant from applying for or receiving a victim compensation award; or 2) an explicit inclusion that claimants cannot be denied compensation or have their compensation reduced based on the applicant's or victim's arrest or conviction history (pre- or post-victimization, probation or parole status, or due to outstanding fines and fees).

States receive **0.5 points** if, in statute, claimants can be denied compensation based on the victim's arrest or conviction history, but the state also codifies specific waivers to this rule under mitigating circumstances, including but not limited to being a victim of sexual assault or human trafficking and being a minor (under the age of 18) at the time of victimization.

States receive **0 points** if, in statute, a claimant can be denied compensation or have their compensation reduced based on the applicant's or victim's arrest or conviction history and the state does not codify exceptions to this rule.

As no specific exclusions for persons with incarceration history exist in statute under I.A.C. 61–9, lowa receives 2 points for this category.

Incarceration status or history

Denying incarcerated people compensation further exacerbates cycles of trauma and disenfranchisement, which victim compensation programs are intended to interrupt. Incarcerated people should be permitted to apply for and receive victim compensation.

State receives the maximum **2 points** if, in statute, there is either: 1) no explicit language that a victim or claimant currently incarcerated is barred from applying for or receiving a victim compensation award; or 2) there is a specific inclusion that a claimant cannot be denied compensation based on their incarceration status or if the harm on which the claim is based occurred while the victim was incarcerated.

States receive **0.5 points** if, in statute, the state codifies specific waivers to this rule under mitigating circumstances, including but not limited to being a victim of sexual assault or human trafficking and being a minor (under the age of 18) at the time of victimization.

States receive **0 points** for this category if, in statute or regulations, a claimant can be denied or receive reduced victim compensation based on being incarcerated or if the harm on which the claim is based occurred while the victim was incarcerated.

2/2 As no specific exclusions for incarcerated persons exist in statute under <u>I.A.C. 61-9</u>, lowa receives 2 points for this category.



Category **Points Awarded For Evaluation Explanation** 1/2 Eligibility for survivors Many victim compensation programs limit who can access Under the definition of "secondary victim" by lowa of victims: Relationship financial relief based on their relationship to a victim or a survivor. Statute § 915.80(7), Iowa considers household definition It is important that victim compensation programs recognize the members, regardless of blood or legal relationship, as impact of violence on witnesses and loved ones and that the eligible for victim compensation, but not relationships state broadly defines who is considered a victim's loved one. beyond shared household, legal status, or blood relation. Iowa therefore receives 1 point for this States receive the maximum 2 points if, in statute, applicants category. with a relationship to the victim beyond shared household, legal status, or by blood are eligible for victim compensation. States receive 1 point if, in statute, household membersregardless of blood or legal relationship-are eligible for victim compensation, but the state does not consider relationships beyond household members. States receive **0 points** if, in statute, the state only considers relationships defined by blood or legal status. Eligibility for survivors Many victim compensation programs limit who can access 0/1 As there is no specific inclusion for persons who are of victims: Witnesses witness to criminally injurious conduct in the definition financial relief based on their relationship to a victim or a survivor. It is important that victim compensation programs recognize the of "victim" under lowa Statute § 915.80(9), lowa impact of violence on witnesses and loved ones and that the receives 0 points for this category. state broadly defines who is considered a victim's loved one. States receive the maximum 1 point if, in statute, the state stipulates that all witnesses to harm are eligible to receive victim compensation. States receive **0.5 points** if, in statute, the state only makes those who were under the age of 18 when they witnessed harm eligible to receive victim compensation. States receive **0 points** if, in statute, the state does not make witnesses to harm eligible to apply for victim compensation. As there is no specific inclusion for persons who Eligibility for survivors It is important that victim compensation programs recognize 0/1 of victims: Willing or and explicitly include individuals who step up in the immediate willingly or are obligated to pay for expenses related obligated to pay aftermath of violence to fill financial gaps for victims and to a victim's injuries as persons eligible for victim survivors. compensation assistance under <u>I.A.C. 61-9.26</u>, lowa receives 0 points for this category. States receive the maximum 1 point if, in statute, the state codifies that a person who willingly paid for or was obligated to pay for expenses related to a victim's harm is eligible for compensation. States receive **0 points** if, in statute, the state does not codify that a person who willingly paid for or was obligated to pay for expenses related to a victim's harm is eligible for compensation.



Experience with the process

States are evaluated based on the availability and amount of emergency awards and whether perspectives of individuals directly affected by violence are consulted or included in the administration of victim compensation awards.

Weighted score: 4.5/6

Multiplier: 1.5 Raw score: 3/4

Category	Points Awarded For	Evaluation	Explanation
Emergency awards	Most states use a reimbursement model to distribute victim compensation. Without immediate and up-front financial support from states, survivors risk experiencing undue financial hardship, which can compound the trauma of becoming a survivor. States should make emergency awards available to help alleviate these burdens. States receive a maximum 2 points if, in statute, the state codifies a process to determine which victim compensation applicants can receive an emergency, tentative, or expedited award to alleviate financial hardship, and the established limit for such an emergency award is equal to or above \$3,750, after adjusting for the cost of living. States receive 1 point if, in statute, the state codifies an emergency award process, but the established limit for such an award is below \$3,750, after adjusting for the cost of living. States receive 0 points if there is no codified process in statute for victim compensation applicants to receive an emergency award.	1/2	lowa Statute § 915.91 authorizes the department to make an emergency award if "undue hardship may result to the person if partial immediate payment is not made." Such an award is limited to \$500. Adjusted for the cost of living in lowa, this is equivalent to \$563.27. As this is below \$3,750.00, lowa receives 1 point for this category.
Inclusion of victim, provider, and/or advocate	To meet survivors where they are, it is essential that victim compensation programs are trauma informed, extend compassion, and listen and learn from survivors' experiences.	2/2	lowa Statute § 915.82 establishes the Crime Victim Assistance Board, which, by statute, must include two members who have received victim services. lowa

perspectives

States can do so by including survivors in victim compensation program decisionmaking processes.

States receive the maximum of 2 points if, in statute or regulations, the state requires at least one survivor to be included in the application review process or in advisory capacity to the review board.

States receive 1 point if, in statute or regulations, the state requires at least one person with direct experience supporting survivors be included in the application review process or in an advisory capacity.

States without this requirement receive 0 points.

therefore receives 2 points for this category.