

## **FACT SHEET**

## Fixing Graduate School Debt

By Viviann Anguiano and Ben Miller January 15, 2020

There's a gaping hole in existing discussions of federal student loans: debt from graduate school.

- Graduate borrowing amounts to more than \$37 billion in loans annually and accounts for 40 percent of federal student loans issued each year.
- Graduate debt keeps growing even as undergraduate borrowing falls.
- While graduate borrowers have much lower estimated default rates than undergraduate borrowers, they are much more likely to use income-driven repayment (IDR) plans. More than 40 percent of loan balances that amount to more than \$60,000—which are most likely held by graduate borrowers—are now being repaid using IDR. Although these plans can help borrowers, they extend repayment time frames and can result in high interest accumulation.



	2010–11	2017–18	Change
Federal student loans			
Graduate student loans	\$35.1 B	\$37.4 B	7%
Undergraduate student loans	\$70.2 B	\$55.3 B	-21%
Share of student debt that is for graduate school	33%	40%	21%
Share of borrowers who are graduate students	15%	19%	27%
Enrollment and credentials awarded			
Graduate enrollment	3,876,464	3,851,162	-1%
Undergraduate enrollment	25,630,903	22,585,279	-12%
Number of graduate credentials awarded	912,753	1,026,195	12%

Note: Enrollment figures are unduplicated 12-month headcounts, Undergraduate debt dollar totals include Parent PLUS. Sources: Author's analysis of Federal Student Aid, "Title IV Program Volume Reports: Direct Loan Program, AY 2017-2018, Q4," available at https://studentaid.ed.gov/sa/about/data-center/student/title-iv (last accessed November 2019); Federal Student Aid, "Aid Recipients Summary, AY 2017-2018," available  $at \ https://studentaid.ed.gov/sa/about/data-center/student/title-iv (last accessed November 2019); National Center for Education Statistics, "Integrated November 2019); National Center for Education Statistics, "Integrated$ Postsecondary Education Data System Trend Generator," available at https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/trendgenerator/ (last accessed November 2019).



For more detail, see also, "Graduate School Debt: Ideas for Reducing the \$37 Billion in Annual Student Loans That No One Is Talking About" by Ben Miller

The equity implications of graduate debt are serious too:

- Black graduate students are more than 20 percentage points more likely to borrow than their white peers, and their typical debt levels are \$25,000 higher.
- Latinx graduate students are 16 percentage points more likely to have graduate debt than their white counterparts, and they end up with nearly \$5,000 more in debt.
- Black students in research- and scholarship-based doctorates were half as likely as their white peers to receive fellowships or assistantships in the 2015-16 academic year.

**TABLE 2** Black or African American graduate students are more likely to have debt than their white peers Graduate students completing a program in 2015-16, by race/ethnicity

	Share of students with federal graduate debt	Median federal graduate debt of borrowers	Share of federal graduate borrowers with undergraduate debt	Median federal undergraduate debt for borrowers with graduate and undergraduate loans	Median total federal debt of borrowers
Black or African American	79%	\$51,250	88%	\$27,000	\$76,750
Hispanic or Latinx	72%	\$41,000	82%	\$20,816	\$49,445
White	56%	\$38,195	75%	\$22,802	\$44,694
Total	60%	\$41,000	78%	\$23,002	\$50,942

Note: Median debt amounts only include federal loan debt for those who borrowed; they exclude Parent PLUS loans for undergraduate students. Source: Author's analysis of National Center for Education Statistics, "Datalab, 2015-16 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study." Tables bknbmmk61. bknbmnea6, and bknbmnf2, available at https://nces.ed.gov/datalab/index.aspx (last accessed November 2019).

## Solutions for graduate debt

It is time for the federal government to play a more active role in bringing down graduate debt levels. To spark this conversation, the Center for American Progress considers six accountability-based solutions for addressing this problem. These solutions are not meant to be independent of or supplant broader reforms such as major new investments in college affordability, student debt cancellation, or reinstating the Obama-era gainful employment rule. The solutions also consider a longer time horizon than ongoing debates around the Higher Education Act's reauthorization. CAP does not explicitly endorse any of these ideas and notes that there would need to be careful attention paid to avoid any unintended equity consequences. The potential solutions include:

- 1. Judge graduate programs on a debt-to-earnings rate to ensure that they do not force students to borrow more than they can afford. This must be done separately from and after efforts to restore the gainful employment regulation and is by no means an endorsement of extending gainful employment to all postsecondary programs.
- 2. Hold programs accountable for repayment rates or excessive reliance on IDR plans. Policymakers could enact a repayment regime and make changes to interest accumulation on IDR. Whether capping debt or requiring risk-sharing payments, programs should be held accountable if their students are too reliant on IDR.

- 3. Create dollar-based caps on graduate loans but do so in a way that does not require reducing spending elsewhere. This is opposed to existing policy, which allows students to borrow up to the cost of attendance set by the institution.
- 4. Ban balance billing. Policymakers could adopt a concept from health care that prevents charging consumers an amount beyond what federal aid and a reasonable student contribution allows. This would require work to separate living expenses from direct academic charges.
- 5. Institute price caps. Policymakers could adopt ideas from other areas that require programs to cap their rate of price growth, establish a reference price so consumers know if they are picking an overly expensive option, or set an explicit cap on how much can be charged for a given program.
- **6.** Tackle specific credentials. Instead of broad-based solutions, policymakers could address individual degrees such as:
  - Master's degree in education, teaching, or social work: Require them to be affordable based on likely earnings if students are required to work.
  - Law school: Move to a two-year instead of a three-year credential.
  - Medical and dental school: Fund more spots upfront and improve equity by expanding the National Health Service Corps.
  - Research-based doctoral degrees: Create requirements for institutional funding to ensure students are not forced into excessive debt levels. This would also correct unacceptably low rates in assistantships and fellowships for Black students.

Viviann Anguiano is an associate director for Postsecondary Education at the Center for American Progress. Ben Miller is the vice president for Postsecondary Education at the Center.