Center for American Progress

A Right to Counsel Is a Right to a Fighting Chance

The Importance of Legal Representation in Eviction Proceedings

By Heidi Schultheis and Caitlin Rooney October 2, 2019

When it comes to evictions, tenants are set up to fail. In eviction lawsuits nationwide, an estimated 90 percent of landlords have legal representation, while only 10 percent of tenants do.¹ Without representation, the majority of tenants lose their cases and are ultimately evicted.² This can have devastating consequences for individuals, communities, and the availability of affordable rental homes more broadly—especially as the share of families renting homes in the United States grows and the supply of affordable rental housing becomes increasingly limited.

Between 2001 and 2015, the supply of affordable rental homes did not keep pace with growth in the percentage of U.S. families that rent their homes.³ Additionally, each year during this time period, gross rents increased an average of 3 percent yearly, while incomes declined 0.1 percent on average. This shortage of affordable rental homes disproportionately affects communities of color and other marginalized groups; Native American, Black, Hispanic, disabled, and LGBTQ renters are all more likely to have extremely low incomes or to live in poverty than other renters.⁴

This combination of limited housing supply, rising rents, and shrinking incomes has resulted in renter households spending more and more of their income on housing costs. In 2016, nearly half of the country's 43 million renter households—more than 20 million households—spent more than 30 percent of their income on rent; 11 million of these households spent more than half of their income on rent.⁵ Meanwhile, fewer than 1 in 4 eligible low-income renter households receive federal rental assistance.⁶ The burden of such high housing cost, along with the lack of financial assistance, make it hard for these renters to afford basic necessities such as food, transportation, and medical care.⁷ Reflecting these harsh realities, recent public opinion polling shows that rental housing affordability is a growing concern nation-wide—not only in large cities and suburbs but also in small towns and rural areas.⁸ On top of the dire shortage of affordable rental homes, the rising number of evictions has only exacerbated tenants' housing issues. In 2016, landlords in the United states filed an estimated 2.3 million evictions against tenants—an average of four evictions per minute.⁹ Nearly 900,000 of these filings resulted in an actual eviction,¹⁰ leading to more than 2.3 million people being displaced from their homes that year.¹¹ Like the shortage of affordable homes, evictions also disproportionately harm marginalized communities. Sociologist Matthew Desmond, founder of Eviction Lab, the first national database on evictions, compared one city's high rate of eviction for Black women with its overincarceration of Black men: "Poor black men were locked up. Poor black women were locked out."¹²

This issue brief explains the growing problem of evictions nationwide. It also emphasizes the importance of guaranteeing tenants legal counsel—known as a right to counsel—in efforts to mitigate this issue. It also explores how several localities are countering the problem by providing this right for tenants in eviction proceedings. A right to counsel has been proven to help tenants win eviction cases and stay in their homes, and it can soften the blow when eviction is unavoidable. In addition to significant benefits for individuals and families who rent their homes, this right benefits communities by preventing homelessness and preserving affordable rental homes all while saving as much as hundreds of millions of government dollars per year.

The eviction crisis

Like the problem of rental housing affordability, the eviction crisis is playing out across the country—and not just in major cities. In fact, the highest eviction rates, or the rate of households evicted relative to the number of rental units in a given area, were in small cities and rural areas.¹³

In addition to displacing families from their homes, evictions are shown to have lasting negative effects on mental and physical health, family unity, and economic well-being. For example, mothers who experience eviction report statistically significantly higher rates of depression, parenting stress, and material hardship than mothers who have not, and these effects can last for several years.¹⁴ Children in families that experience eviction are more likely to be placed in out-of-home care, which is associated with poor short- and long-term mental and physical health outcomes for children.¹⁵ Tenants facing eviction may be subject to court fees if they choose to fight the eviction notice. Tenants may also lose their belongings, as they usually have two options: They either pile their possessions on the curb despite not necessarily having a way to transport them or a secure place in which to store them, or a moving company puts the items in bonded storage that charges fees that some tenants can't afford, thus making their belongings irretrievable.¹⁶ Eviction can also lead to job loss either because the time commitment and stress of the eviction they are

forced to move to a new location further away from their job.¹⁷ One study suggests that workers who are evicted are as many as 22 percentage points more likely than similarly situated people to subsequently lose their job.¹⁸

Evictions can affect renters' future housing options and credit ratings, which are intertwined. Even when evicted tenants find another place to live, they are frequently forced to live in substandard housing, often in more under-resourced neighborhoods or in unsafe conditions, which is particularly harmful for children.¹⁹ Tenants whose landlords sue them for eviction often suffer damage to their credit scores; eviction filings remain on credit reports for seven years regardless of the outcome of the case.²⁰ They also are more likely to end up in a vicious cycle of housing instability and homelessness, as a record of eviction and poor credit score often make it harder to obtain housing in the future.²¹ As Eviction Lab explains, "the evidence strongly indicates that eviction is not just a condition of poverty, it is a cause of it."²²

Beyond these harmful effects on individuals and families, evictions also wreak havoc on entire communities and cities. Affordable rental homes can be lost forever when they are converted to far more expensive market-rate housing following evictions.²³ And cities cannot afford to lose subsidized units, as there is a current nationwide shortage of 7 million affordable and available rental homes for the lowest-income renters.²⁴

Affordable housing investments are a crucial tool in reducing housing poverty and homelessness, improving health outcomes, boosting economic mobility, supporting job creation and retention, and strengthening the economy.²⁵ For example, a 2015 report by the National Association of Home Builders indicates that the one-year effects of building 100 affordable apartment homes in a typical local area produces 161 local jobs; generates \$2.2 million in taxes and other revenues for local governments; and increases local income by \$11.7 million.²⁶

According to an analysis by *The New York Times*, landlords in New York City have been using evictions—including frivolous eviction proceedings such as falsely claiming that one tenant did not live in her apartment—to convert affordable rental homes into market-rate housing units, thereby deregulating them.²⁷ In one New York City neighborhood, two-thirds of the formerly rent-stabilized rental homes owned by landlords who engaged in these kinds of practices were deregulated between 2009 and 2016.

Evictions also disproportionately affect certain communities, perpetuating economic inequality. For example, families with children are more likely to face eviction than families without children, even when controlling for other factors such as race, single-mother households, the amount of rent tenants owed, and selection bias regarding the likelihood of having children.²⁸ Low-income Black women face the highest risk of eviction, with 1 in 5 Black female renters reporting that they have experienced eviction compared with 1 in 12 Hispanic women and 1 in 15 white women.²⁹ Analysis of eviction data from Milwaukee and Richmond, Virginia, points to race-based eviction discrimination even when controlling for a variety of demographic characteristics.³⁰ Renters with mental illness can face evictions because of landlords' failure to provide accommodations for their residents, despite state and federal laws that are intended to protect renters from this harm.³¹

Some data also suggest that transgender people may face evictions at higher rates than the general population due to discrimination.³² Although research suggests that this group faces housing discrimination at high rates, there is no federal law explicitly protecting LGBTQ people from it, and only a minority of states explicitly ban landlords from evicting LGBTQ people simply because of who they are.³³ Access to counsel may be particularly important in the case of evictions without just cause,³⁴ meaning people of color, LGBTQ people, and others being evicted for discriminatory reasons may especially need legal representation.

Evictions are part of a broader lack of civil legal representation

One proven means of curbing evictions for low-income tenants is to break the pattern of unequal representation between tenants and landlords. This can be done by guaranteeing legal representation for tenants, known as a right to counsel, when a landlord sues them for eviction.

While the Sixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution grants criminal defendants the right to counsel,³⁵ litigants in civil matters do not have the same guarantee of legal representation—even when something as vital as their housing is on the line.

The Legal Services Corporation

A right to counsel is distinct from eviction prevention services that some tenants receive from the Legal Services Corporation (LSC), the national organization that funds civil legal aid programs³⁶ to provide services for more than 1.7 million low-income people nationwide.³⁷ Created by Congress in 1974, the LSC is an independent nonprofit agency that receives most of its funding from congressional appropriations.³⁸ Eligibility for LSC services is limited to clients with incomes at or below 125 percent of the federal poverty guidelines. While housing problems comprise the LSC's second-largest area of case services, housing problems generally—and evictions specifically—are just one of many legal areas that LSC grantees stretch their limited funds to try to cover.³⁹ The nature and length of LSC services vary from conducting self-help workshops, giving one-time legal advice, and helping with completing documents to representing clients in court.⁴⁰

Defendants in eviction cases fare much better with legal representation than they do without it, but the LSC's limited funding, eligibility requirements, variability in services, and varying expertise among attorneys means that low-income tenants in eviction suits are not guaranteed representation. Furthermore, the LSC is frequently under attack; former President Ronald Reagan attempted to abolish the organization in 1981; former U.S. Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich tried to gut its funding in the mid-1990s; and President Donald Trump's past and most recent budgets aimed to eliminate the agency altogether.⁴¹ Although the LSC plays an indispensable role in funding representation and other civil legal services for low-income people, it stops short of the guaranteed representation that a right to counsel in evictions can provide. The challenges that tenants in eviction cases encounter when seeking legal aid are indicative of a large gap between the civil legal needs of low-income people and the resources available to meet those needs. A 2017 LSC report found that 86 percent of all civil legal problems for low-income people nationwide receive insufficient or no help at all.⁴² Those who seek assistance from the LSC fare slightly better: Between 62 percent and 72 percent of the 1,700 civil legal problems for which low-income people seek LSC-funded legal aid receive inadequate or no legal assistance. People of color—particularly Black people—as well as women, households headed by single women, people with disabilities, LGBTQ people, and immigrants all experience poverty at disproportionately high rates and are more likely to need legal assistance.⁴³ These glaring inequities, combined with extensive data on the effectiveness of legal representation in a variety of civil cases, have given rise to a broad call for a civil right to counsel among legal professionals and advocates in recent decades.⁴⁴

While federal law does not guarantee a right to counsel in civil cases, many states and localities have begun to recognize its critical value in certain civil legal matters and have adopted a right to counsel at the state and local levels across various policy areas, including evictions, mental health proceedings, civil forfeiture, domestic violence, child custody disputes, and more.⁴⁵ This progress has taken years. Short of being able to provide every civil litigant with guaranteed representation, policymakers at all levels could establish this right more widely for specific cases such as evictions.

The right to counsel has wide-ranging benefits for tenants and communities

Extensive research indicates that tenants with legal representation are much more likely to avoid an eviction judgment and to keep possession of their homes than unrepresented tenants. In addition to these primary effects, a right to counsel offers several secondary benefits to defendants who are sued for eviction. Attorneys may be able to keep eviction filings off tenants' records, arrange for alternative housing, negotiate reasonable amounts of time for tenants to move out, reduce or eliminate money owed to the landlord, or help tenants apply for rental assistance.⁴⁶ Keeping eviction filings off tenants' credit reports means not only avoiding damage to their credit scores, which directly affects access to credit and interest rates, but also increasing the likelihood that they will be able to secure decent, safe housing in the future because landlords will not be able to deny them housing due to their eviction history.⁴⁷ Tenants who are evicted from federally subsidized housing programs stand to benefit significantly from keeping eviction filings off their records because, in some circumstances, federally subsidized housing programs must deny housing to tenants who have been evicted from such programs.⁴⁸

A 2013 study on eviction cases in Manhattan showed that providing legal counsel to tenants was associated with a 77 percent decrease in the number of cases that resulted in a warrant for eviction.⁴⁹ Similarly, a 2010 study from Massachusetts revealed a 77 percent decrease in judgments for eviction when tenants were represented as well as a 45 percent decrease in the number of cases in which tenants lost their homes.⁵⁰

Two eviction-prevention pilot programs in Boston used a randomized study to measure the effect of full legal representation for a targeted group of low-income tenants facing eviction between 2009 and 2011.⁵¹ In one program, two-thirds of tenants with full representation retained their homes, compared with just one-third of similar unrepresented tenants. Tenants with full representation also received a combined total of more than \$306,000 in financial benefits, such as damage payments and waived rent, compared with about \$73,000 total for unrepresented tenants. Additionally, tenants with full representation benefited from having ample time to find new housing. The second program showed little difference in retaining homes between fully represented and unrepresented tenants, though it is not clear why. Both pilot programs revealed that full representation allows attorneys to have a thorough understanding of each client's case and to manage the case accordingly.

In Hennepin County, Minnesota, data collected between 2016 and 2018 showed how the extent of representation—full, limited, or none—influences a variety of tenant outcomes in eviction cases. In all dimensions of the study, tenants with full or limited representation fared better than unrepresented tenants.⁵² Tenants with full representation won 21 percent of their cases, while those with limited representation won 24 percent of cases, and unrepresented tenants won only 11 percent of cases. Fifty-two percent of fully represented tenants remained in their homes, compared with 48 percent of tenants with limited representation and just 31 percent of unrepresented tenants. Similarly, 78 percent of fully represented tenants left court without eviction filings on their records, while this was true for only 17 percent of tenants with limited represented tenants. Notably, the study also concluded that represented tenants are four times less likely than unrepresented tenants to enter homeless shelters.

An analysis of more than 100,000 nonpublic housing eviction cases in Philadelphia filed between 2012 and 2017 examined how represented and unrepresented tenants fared in terms of forcible displacement and other disruptions that often accompany eviction.⁵³ Tenants with representation were forcibly displaced from their homes in just 5 percent of cases, compared with a staggering 78 percent of unrepresented tenants to receive a favorable judgment. Tenants with representation were 15 percent less likely than unrepresented tenants to have a second eviction case brought against them.

Fewer evictions means fewer devastating consequences that tend to result from evictions, including forced moves that disrupt nearly every dimension of a household; entries into homeless services systems and the trauma that accompanies homelessness; and extremely high eviction-related monetary costs that cities must shoulder.⁵⁴ A 2016 cost-benefit analysis of a right to counsel in eviction cases for renters with incomes up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level in New York City found that the net cost savings for the city would be \$320 million per year, after accounting for the cost of providing counsel.⁵⁵ Specifically, \$251 million would be saved by preventing 5,237 families from entering shelters; \$9 million would be saved by preventing 278 individuals from entering unsheltered homelessness; and \$259 million would be saved by preserving 3,414 affordable rental homes. A report about the two randomized pilot projects in Boston indicated that if the state provided representation for a targeted group of Massachusetts renters, then the state would achieve a net savings of more than \$3 million in emergency homeless shelter costs alone.⁵⁶ A report about the Philadelphia analysis estimates that more than 14,000 Philadelphians could avoid eviction every year and that the city could realize annual net savings of more than \$40 million if the city provided representation to all low-income tenants.⁵⁷ This is a conservative estimate of cost savings, as additional unquantified costs are expected to result from fewer evictions filed, including a decreased negative effect on tenant credit scores and the preservation of affordable homes, among other savings.

In response to the growing eviction crisis and in recognition of the effectiveness of guaranteed legal counsel, some local governments have stepped up to offer solutions for renters who just want a fighting chance against eviction lawsuits. The next section covers some notable examples of approaches to a right to counsel that are meaningfully combating the eviction crisis and its related consequences.

Three localities implementing right to counsel citywide

Recognizing the huge threat that evictions pose to renters, local governments of three large metropolitan cities—New York City, San Francisco, and Newark, New Jersey—are stepping up to help renters stay in their homes by providing a right to counsel in eviction cases.

New York City

In August 2017, after years of advocacy by tenant rights groups, legal services providers, neighborhood associations, and many others, New York City became the first U.S. city to establish a right to counsel for low-income tenants in eviction cases when Mayor Bill de Blasio (D) signed it into law.⁵⁸ The city previously provided legal representation for some low-income renters, but this assistance reached only about 15 percent of tenants in eviction cases in 2016.⁵⁹ The law, which will be fully implemented by 2022, guarantees full legal representation for renters with incomes at or below 200 percent of the federal poverty guidelines as well as one-time legal consultations for all renters regardless of income.⁶⁰

In its first year of implementation, in the first 15 ZIP codes covered by the new law, 56 percent of tenants who went to court for eviction proceedings had legal representation, and another 2 percent received brief assistance or free legal advice.⁶¹ By comparison, the citywide representation rate in eviction cases during this time was 30 percent—up from just 1 percent in 2013. Evictions decreased 11 percent in the ZIP codes covered by the new law, while they decreased only 2 percent in the rest of the city.⁶²

In addition to annual net cost savings of \$320 million, the city expects savings in the form of reduced service costs for children in families experiencing homelessness, fewer job-loss supports, fewer rental law enforcement costs, and an overall reduction in the number of eviction cases filed.

San Francisco

The Bay Area tech hub, with its soaring home prices and high homelessness rate, became the second U.S. city to implement a right to counsel in eviction cases in June 2018.⁶³ After a year of work by tenant advocacy organizations, voters voiced their support for tenant representation by passing a ballot measure, known as the No Eviction Without Representation Act, that guarantees legal counsel to tenants in eviction lawsuits regardless of their income. The inclusion of tenants at all income levels is a significant departure from the income limit in the New York City law and a reflection of how rising housing costs are affecting people farther up the income spectrum.

The new law went into effect in July 2019.⁶⁴ Mayor London Breed (D) allotted \$5.8 million to cover the costs of providing legal counsel in these cases to last through the end of fiscal year 2020. Advocates for the law argued that a right to counsel was needed to reduce San Francisco's homelessness rate—17 percent of which is attributed to eviction⁶⁵—and to increase woefully low rates of legal representation; in 2014, at least 80 percent of tenants in eviction cases were unrepresented.⁶⁶ The city will likely see improvements in these and other tenant outcomes once the new law has been in effect long enough to produce data.

Newark, New Jersey

New Jersey's most populated city⁶⁷ became the third in the nation to guarantee legal representation for tenants in eviction cases in December 2018.⁶⁸ Newark city council members and advocates from law schools, community groups, and legal services providers⁶⁹ worked together to develop an ordinance to prevent evictions for the nearly 80 percent of Newark residents who rent their homes.⁷⁰ At an expected annual cost of between \$750,000 and \$1 million, the new legislation guarantees legal representation in eviction cases for tenants with incomes at or below 200 percent of the federal poverty line and is expected to provide representation or consultation to between 5,000 and 7,000 Newark renters every year.⁷¹

The city hired a coordinator for its new Office of Tenant Legal Services, which began accepting applications for tenants seeking legal representation in eviction cases in early June.⁷² The city has committed \$400,000 thus far to the new initiative and is seeking additional funding. Eligible applicants will be referred to either a pro bono attorney or a legal services provider that is contracting with the city.⁷³

Conclusion

Safe, affordable, and accessible housing is essential for family stability, social and economic well-being, and mental and physical health.⁷⁴ But affordable rental homes are in extremely short supply, and the eviction crisis further threatens their dwindling numbers. Despite the growing percentage of people in the United States who rent their homes in recent years,⁷⁵ renters still face frighteningly steep odds when faced with eviction.

There are opportunities at the federal, state, and local levels to develop and implement a right to counsel in eviction cases. Cities and states can begin this process by first identifying the scope of their eviction problem. This will involve collecting eviction data from courts and administrative proceedings-the latter being where public housing eviction cases take place—that can be disaggregated by several demographic factors, including race and ethnicity, age, sex, disability status, income, source of income, household size and composition, prior evictions, prior homelessness, address, the reason the eviction judgment was entered, whether or not the tenant and landlord had legal representation, the type of landlord (public or private; corporate or small scale), and case outcome. In localities and states where these data have not yet been collected, state or city officials can develop pilot projects that provide representation for a period of time and study the projects' outcomes. Localities that have already collected these valuable data and used them to determine the scope of their eviction problem could offer a right to counsel to particular tenant groups such as tenants who participate in state or local rental assistance programs or who fall below a particular income threshold such as 150 percent or 200 percent of the federal poverty guidelines. Programmatic specifics should be tailored to meet the needs of the state or locality based on analysis of local eviction data.

At the federal level, the U.S. government could create a right to counsel fund to counter evictions, possibly administered by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) or by the U.S. Department of Justice, with funding available only to states or localities that guarantee legal representation for tenants. Alternatively, HUD could incentivize housing authorities to contract with legal services organizations to provide counsel in eviction proceedings for all tenants who receive federal rental assistance—a group on whom HUD already collects robust demographic data. Regardless of the mechanism by which the federal govern-

ment supports a right to counsel in eviction cases, HUD should also create its own thorough database on nationwide evictions for government and public use, modeled after Eviction Lab.

Furthermore, a right to counsel in eviction proceedings can and should be paired with other tenant protections at all levels of government such as anti-rent-gouging measures and emergency rental assistance. Protections should also include the prohibition of evictions without just cause and of discrimination against classes that are not explicitly legally protected but that too often are targets for discrimination, such as source of income.⁷⁶ Building upon the examples outlined in this brief, localities, states, and the federal government should develop practices and policies that increase tenant access to legal representation and strengthen other tenant protections. Doing so would help stem the growing tide of evictions, save millions of dollars, and give renters a fighting chance of staying in their homes.

Heidi Schultheis is a senior policy analyst for the Poverty to Prosperity Program at the Center for American Progress. Caitlin Rooney is a former research associate for LGBT Research and Communications Project at the Center.

The authors thank Katherine Gallagher Robbins, Laura Durso, Rebecca Vallas, and Ben Olinsky for their thorough and helpful feedback on early drafts of this issue brief. They also thank Donovan Hicks, Azza Altiraifi, Rejane Frederick, Michela Zonta, Maggie Buchanan, Connor Maxwell, Ed Chung, Betsy Pearl, and Maritza Perez, as well as Shanée Simhoni, Tricia Woodcome, and CAP's production team, for their valuable input.

Author's note: CAP uses "Black" and "African American" interchangeably throughout many of our products. We chose to capitalize "Black" in order to reflect that we are discussing a group of people and to be consistent with the capitalization of "African American."

Endnotes

- Matthew Desmond, "Unaffordable America: Poverty, housing, and eviction," *Fast Focus* 22 (2015): 1–6, available at https://www.irp.wisc.edu/publications/fastfocus/pdfs/ FF22-2015.pdf.
- 2 According to two main studies on this issue, between 51 percent and 75 percent of tenants without legal representation lost their eviction case in court. See Carroll Seron and others, "The Impact of Legal Counsel on Outcomes for Poor Tenants in New York City's Housing Court: Results of a Randomized Experiment," *Law & Society Review* 35 (2) (2001): 419–434, available at https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3185408.pdf?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents; D. James Greiner, Cassandra Wolos Pattanayak, and Jonathan Hennessy, "The Limits of Unbundled Legal Assistance: A Randomized Study in a Massachusetts District Court and Prospects for the Future," *Harvard Law Review* 126 (2013): 901–989, available at https://harvardlawreview.org/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/vol126_%20greiner_wolos_pattanayak_hennessy.pdf.
- 3 The Pew Charitable Trusts, "American Families Face a Growing Rent Burden" (Philadelphia: 2018), available at https://www.pewtrusts.org/-/media/assets/2018/04/rentburden_report_v2.pdf.
- 4 Andrew Aurand and others, "The Gap: A Shortage of Affordable Homes" (Washington: National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2019), available at https://reports. nlihc.org/sites/default/files/gap/Gap-Report_2019.pdf; Lourdes Ashley Hunter and others, "Intersecting Injustice: A National Call to Action: Addressing LGBTQ Poverty and Economic Justice for All" (New York: Social Justice Sexuality Project, 2018), available at https://socialjusticesexuality. com/files/2018/04/Poverty-Reports-Exec-Summary.pdf.
- 5 Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, "America's Rental Housing 2017" (Cambridge, MA: 2017), available at https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/sites/default/ files/harvard_jchs_americas_rental_housing_2017_0.pdf.
- 6 Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, "Three Out of Four Low-Income At-Risk Renters Do Not Receive Federal Rental Assistance," available at http://apps.cbpp.org/shareables_ housing_unmet/chart.html (last accessed September 2019).
- 7 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, "Affordable Housing," available at https://www.hud.gov/ program_offices/comm_planning/affordablehousing/ (last accessed September 2019).
- 8 Opportunity Starts at Home, "The Need for Action on Housing Affordability" (Washington: 2019), available at https://www.opportunityhome.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Full-Report-PPT-NoEM.pdf.
- 9 Terry Gross, "First-Ever Evictions Database Shows: 'We're In the Middle Of a Housing Crisis," NPR, April 12, 2018, available at https://www.npr.org/2018/04/12/601783346/ first-ever-evictions-database-shows-were-in-the-middleof-a-housing-crisis.
- 10 Eviction Lab, "National Estimates: Eviction in America," May 11, 2018, available at https://evictionlab.org/nationalestimates/.
- 11 David Brancaccio and Katie Long, "Millions of Americans are evicted every year – and not just in big cities," Marketplace, April 9, 2018, available at https://www.marketplace. org/2018/04/09/eviction-desmond-princeton-housingcrisis-rent/.
- 12 Kate Abbey-Lambertz, "How The Eviction Epidemic Is Trapping Black Women in Poverty," HuffPost, March 17, 2016, available at https://www.huffpost.com/entry/eviction-matthew-desmond-book_n_56e996e3e4b065e2e3d82403.
- 13 Eviction Lab, "Top Evicting Small Cities and Rural Areas in the United States," available at https://evictionlab.org/rankings/#/evictions?r=United%20States&a=2&d=evictionRate (last accessed September 2019).
- 14 Matthew Desmond and Rachel Tolbert Kimbro, "Eviction's Fallout: Housing, Hardship, and Health," Social Forces (forthcoming), available at https://scholar.harvard.edu/ files/mdesmond/files/desmondkimbro.evictions.fallout. sf2015_2.pdf.

- 15 Lisa Berg and Lars Brännström, "Evicted children and subsequent placement in out-of-home care: A cohort study," *PLoS One* 13 (4) (2018), available at https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5905888/; Kristin Turney and Christopher Wildeman, "Mental and Physical Health of Children in Foster Care," *Pediatrics* 138 (5) (2016), available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27940775/; Russell M. Viner and Brent Taylor, "Adult Health and Social Outcomes of Children Who Have Been in Public Care: Population-Based Study," *Pediatrics* 115 (4) (2005), available at https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/115/4/894. short.
- 16 Rachael Hudak, "Study Guide: Evicted: Poverty and Profit in the American City" (New York: Penguin Random House Academic Resources, 2017), available at http://www. evictedbook.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/EVICTED. DESMOND.978-0-553-44745-3.InstructG_PG.16pgs.FINAL_, NO_CROPS.pdf.
- 17 Desmond, "Unaffordable America."
- 18 Matthew Desmond and Carl Gershenson, "Housing and Employment Insecurity among the Working Poor," forthcoming, Harvard University, January 11, 2016, available at https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/mdesmond/files/desmondgershenson.sp2016.pdf?m=1452638824.
- 19 Matthew Desmond and Tracey Schollenberger, "Forced Displacement from Rental Housing: Prevalence and Neighborhood Consequences," *Demography* 52 (5) (2015): 1751–1772, available at https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/ mdesmond/files/desmondshollenberger.demography.2015.pdf.
- 20 My Rental History Report, "Eviction Court Record Search," available at https://www.myrentalhistoryreport.com/ myrhreviction.cfm (last accessed September 2019).
- 21 Matthew Desmond, "Eviction and the Reproduction of Urban Poverty," American Journal of Sociology 118 (1) (2012): 88–133, available at https://scholar.harvard.edu/ files/mdesmond/files/desmond.evictionpoverty.ajs2012. pdf
- 22 Eviction Lab, "Why Eviction Matters," available at https:// evictionlab.org/why-eviction-matters/#eviction-impact (last accessed September 2019).
- 23 Stout Risius Ross, Inc., "The Financial Cost and Benefits of Establishing a Right to Counsel in Eviction Proceedings Under Intro 214-A" (New York: 2016), available at https:// www2.nycbar.org/pdf/report/uploads/SRR_Report_Financial_Cost_and_Benefits_of_Establishing_a_Right_to_ Counsel_in_Eviction_Proceedings.pdf; Kim Barker and others, "The Eviction Machine Churning Through New York City," *The New York Times*, May 20, 2018, available at https:// www.nytimes.com/interactive/2018/05/20/nyregion/nycaffordable-housing.html.
- 24 Aurand and others, "The Gap."
- 25 Elayne Weiss and others, "A Place to Call Home: The Case for Increased Federal Investments in Affordable Housing" (Washington: National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2019) available at https://nlihc.org/sites/default/files/A-Place-To-Call-Home.pdf; The authors use the term "housing poverty" to refer to poverty that is either caused or exacerbated by high housing cost burden.
- 26 National Association of Home Builders, "The Economic Impact of Home Building in a Typical Local Area: Income, Jobs, and Taxes Generated" (Washington: 2015), available at https://www.nahb.org/research/housing-economics/ housings-economic-impact/local-economic-impact-ofhome-building.aspx. The National Association of Home Builders defines "a typical local area" as "an average metropolitan area or nonmetropolitan county." For the purposes of this model, "a local area must be large enough to include the places where construction workers live and spend their money, as well as the places where the new home occupants are likely to work, shop, and go to recreation. In practice, this usually means a Metropolitan Statistical area (MSA) or Metropolitan Division, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) based on local commuting patterns."
- 27 Barker and others, "The Eviction Machine Churning Through New York City."

- 28 Matthew Desmond and others, "Evicting Children," Social Forces 92 (1) (2013): 303–27, available at https://scholar. harvard.edu/files/mdesmond/files/social_forces-2013-desmond-303-27.pdf.
- 29 Rachel Dovey, "What 80 Million Eviction Records Can Tell City Leaders," Next City, April 9, 2018, available at https:// nextcity.org/daily/entry/what-80-million-eviction-recordscan-tell-city-leaders.
- 30 Deena Greenberg, Carl Gershenson, and Matthew Desmond, "Discrimination in Evictions: Empirical Evidence and Legal Challenges," *Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review* 51 (1) (2016): 115–158, available at https:// scholar.harvard.edu/files/mdesmond/files/greenberg_et_al._pdf?m=1462385261; Benjamin F. Teresa, "The Geography of Eviction in Richmond: Beyond Poverty" (Richmond, VA: RVA Eviction Lab, 2017), available at https://cura.vcu.edu/media/cura/pdfs/cura-documents/ GeographiesofEviction.pdf.
- 31 Meghan P. Carter, "How Evictions from Subsidized Housing Routinely Violate the Rights of Persons with Mental Illness," Northwestern Journal of Law & Social Policy 5 (1) (2010): 118–148, available at https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent. cgi?article=1049&context=nilsp
- 32 According to self-reported data in the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey, transgender adults report facing eviction at a rate about five times higher than the general population. See Sandy E. James and others, "The Report of the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey" (Washington: National Center for Transgender Equality, 2015), available at http://www. ustranssurvey.org/reports; David Brancaccio and Katie Long, "Millions of Americans are evicted every year – and not just in big cities," Marketplace. April 9, 2018, available at https://www.marketplace.org/2018/04/09/evicitondesmond-princeton-housing-crisis-rent/. However, data for the general population are based on official, formal evictions, which constitute about one-quarter of evictions. See Desmond, "Unaffordable America."
- 33 Sharita Gruberg, "We the People: LGBT Americans and Housing" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://cdn.americanprogress.org/ wp-content/uploads/2015/04/NonDisc-Housing-4.10. pdf; Caitlin Rooney, Laura E. Durso, and Sharita Gruberg, "Discrimination Against Transgender Women Seeking Access to Homeless Shelters" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2016), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/reports/2016/01/07/128323/ discrimination-against-transgender-women-seekingaccess-to-homeless-shelters/; James and others, "The Report of the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey"; Movement Advancement Project, "Non-Discrimination Laws: Housing," available at http://www.lgbtmap.org/equality-maps/ non_discrimination_laws (last accessed September 2019).
- 34 Cydney Adams, "How no-fault evictions are contributing to LA's homelessness crisis," CBS News, February 24, 2019, available at https://www.cbsnews.com/news/no-faultevictions-priced-out-los-angeles-hidden-homeless-cbsnoriginals/.
- 35 United States Courts, "Facts and Case Summary Gideon v. Wainwright," available at https://www.uscourts.gov/ educational-resources/educational-activities/factsand-case-summary-gideon-v-wainwright (last accessed September 2019).
- 36 Legal Services Corporation, "About LSC," available at https://www.lsc.gov/about-lsc (last accessed September 2019).
- 37 Legal Services Corporation, "Quick Facts," available at https://www.lsc.gov/quick-facts#footnote6_xfp0suw (last accessed September 2019).
- 38 Legal Services Corporation, "Congressional Oversight," available at https://www.lsc.gov/about-lsc/who-we-are/ congressional-oversight (last accessed September 2019).
- 39 Legal Services Corporation, "Case Services Provided: National Data," available at https://www.lsc.gov/nationaldata-case-services-provided (last accessed September 2019); Utah Legal Services, "Housing," available at https:// www.utahlegalservices.org/topics/14/housing (last accessed September 2019); South Carolina Legal Services, "2019 SCLS Case Priorities," available at http://sclegal.org/ case-priorities/ (last accessed September 2019); Florida Rural Legal Services Inc., "Housing," available at https:// www.fils.org/services-provided/housing/ (last accessed September 2019); Alaska Legal Services Corporation, "Housing," available at http://www.alsc-law.org/housing/ (last accessed September 2019).

- 40 Dakota Plains Legal Services, "Dakota Plains Legal Services Acceptance Criteria," available at https://www.dpls.org/ case-acceptance (last accessed September 2019); Southern Arizona Legal Aid, Inc., "Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)," available at https://www.salegalaid.org/services/faq (last accessed September 2019).
- 41 Matt Ford, "What Will Happen to Americans Who Can't Afford an Attorney?", *The Atlantic*, March 19, 2017, available at https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2017/03/ legal-services-corporation/520083/; U.S. Government Publishing Office, "A Budget for a Better America: Major Savings and Reforms: Fiscal Year 2020" (Washington: 2019), available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/ uploads/2019/03/msar-fy2020.pdf.
- 42 Legal Services Corporation, "The Justice Gap: Measuring the Unmet Civil Legal Needs of Low-income Americans" (Washington: 2017), available at https://www.lsc.gov/sites/ default/files/images/TheJusticeGap-FullReport.pdf.
- 43 Jessica Semega and others, "Income and Poverty in the United States: 2018" (Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce, 2019), available at https://www.census.gov/ content/dam/Census/library/publications/2019/demo/ p60-266.pdf; Legal Services NYC, "Poverty is an LGBT Issue: An Assessment of the Legal Needs of Low-Income LGBT People" (New York: 2016), available at https://www. legalservicesnyc.org/storage/PDFs/lgbt%20report.pdf; Rebecca Buckwalter-Poza, "Making Justice Equal" (Washington, Center for American Progress, 2016), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/criminal-justice/ reports/2016/12/08/294479/making-justice-equal/; Lourdes Ashley Hunter and others, "Intersecting Injustice: A National Call to Action: Addressing LGBTQ Poverty and Economic Justice for All" (New York: Social Justice Sexuality Project, 2018), available at https://socialjusticesexuality. com/files/2018/04/Poverty-Reports-Exec-Summary.pdf.
- 44 Tonya L. Brito, "The Right to Counsel," Daedalus 148 (1) (2019): 56–63, available at https://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/full/10.1162/daed_a_00535; National Coalition for a Civil Right to Counsel, "A Civil Right to Counsel: What We're Fighting For," available at http://civilrighttocounsel.org/about (last accessed September 2019).
- 45 National Coalition for a Civil Right to Counsel, "Status Map," available at http://civilrighttocounsel.org/map (last accessed September 2019).
- 46 Kriston Capps, "New York City Guarantees a Lawyer to Every Resident Facing Eviction," CityLab, August 14, 2017, available at https://www.citylab.com/equity/2017/08/ nyc-ensures-eviction-lawyer-for-every-tenant/536508/; National Law Center on Homelessness & Poverty, "Protect Tenants, Prevent Homelessness" (Washington: 2018), available at http://nlchp.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/ ProtectTenants2018.pdf.
- 47 Matthew Desmond, "Eviction and the Reproduction of Urban Poverty," American Journal of Sociology 118 (1) (2012): 88–133, available at https://scholar.harvard.edu/ files/mdesmond/files/desmond.evictionpoverty.ajs2012. pdf.
- 48 Mass Legal Help, "Reasons for Denial," available at https:// www.masslegalhelp.org/housing/reasons-for-denial (last accessed September 2019).
- 49 Stout Risius Ross, Inc., "The Financial Cost and Benefits of Establishing a Right to Counsel in Eviction Proceedings Under Intro 214-A."
- 50 Not all judgments for eviction are followed by the landlord obtaining a warrant for eviction, which is required for the U.S. Marshals Service to remove tenants and their possessions from a property. Cassandra Wolos Pattanayak, D. James Greiner, and Jonathan Hennessy, "The Limits of Unbundled Legal Assistance: A Randomized Study in a Massachusetts District Court and Prospects for the Future," *Harvard Law Review* 126 (4) (2013): 904–989, available at https://harvardlawreview.org/2013/02/the-limits-ofunbundled-legal-assistance-a-randomized-study-in-a-massachusetts-district-court-and-prospects-for-the-future/.
- 51 Boston Bar Association Task Force on the Civil Right to Counsel, "The Importance of Representation in Eviction Cases and Homelessness Prevention: A Report on the BBA Civil Right to Counsel Housing Pilots" (Boston: 2012), available at http://www.bostonbar.org/docs/defaultdocument-library/bba-crtc-final-3-1-12.pdf.

- 52 Luke Grundman and Maria Kruger, "Legal Representation in Evictions – Comparative Study" (Minneapolis: 2018), available at https://www.minnpost.com/wp-content/ uploads/2018/11/2018-Eviction-Representation-Results-Study-with-logos.pdf. In this study, limited representation included lawyers providing brief advice, reviewing files, advising tenants about their rights, and drafting legal responses to eviction cases.
- 53 Stout Risius Ross, Inc., "Economic Return on Investment of Providing Counsel in Philadelphia Eviction Cases for Low-Income Tenants" (New York: 2018), available at http:// philadelphiabar.org/WebObjects/PBA.woa/Contents/ WebServerResources/CMSResources/PhiladelphiaEvictionsReport.pdf.
- 54 National Law Center on Homelessness & Poverty, "Protect Tenants, Prevent Homelessness," (Washington: 2018), available at http://nlchp.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/ ProtectTenants2018.pdf.
- 55 Stout Risius Ross, Inc., "The Financial Cost and Benefits of Establishing a Right to Counsel in Eviction Proceedings Under Intro 214-A."
- 56 The task force that conducted the pilot projects identified three groups of tenants to include: tenants whose eviction was related to a mental disability, cases involving allegations of criminal conduct, and cases that tenants were likely to lose – despite having a viable defense – due to a power imbalance between them and the landlord. Boston Bar Association Task Force on the Civil Right to Counsel, "The Importance of Representation in Eviction Cases and Homelessness Prevention: A Report on the BBA Civil Right to Counsel Housing Pilots" (Boston: 2012), available at http://www.bostonbar.org/docs/default-documentlibrary/bba-crtc-final-3-1-12.pdf.
- 57 Stout Risius Ross, Inc., "Economic Return on Investment of Providing Counsel in Philadelphia Eviction Cases for Low-Income Tenants."
- 58 Capps, "New York City Guarantees a Lawyer to Every Resident Facing Eviction."
- 59 New York City Department of Social Services, "NYC Office of Civil Justice 2016 Annual Report" (New York: 2016), available at https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/hra/downloads/ pdf/services/civiljustice/OCJ%202016%20Annual%20Report%20FINAL_08_29_2016.pdf.
- 60 New York City Council Legislative Research Center, "Providing legal services for tenants who are subject to eviction proceedings," available at https://legistar.council.nyc.gov/ LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=1687978&GUID=29A4594B-9E8A-4CSE-A797-96BDC4F64F80 (last accessed September 2019).
- 61 New York City Department of Social Services, "Universal Access to Legal Services: A Report on Year One of Implementation in New York City" (New York: 2018), available at https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/hra/downloads/pdf/services/civiljustice/OCJ-UA-2018-Report.pdf.
- 62 Oksana Mironova, "NYC Right to Counsel: First year results and potential for expansion," Community Service Society, March 25, 2019, available at https://www.cssny.org/news/ entry/nyc-right-to-counsel.
- 63 Ida Mojadad, "Tenant Right to Counsel Moves Forward," *SF Weekly*, November 28, 2018, available at http://www. sfweekly.com/news/tenant-right-to-counsel-movesforward/.
- 64 Laura Waxmann, "Tenant advocacy groups set to receive funding under'Right to Counsel' program," San Francisco Examiner, November 28, 2018, available at https://www. sfexaminer.com/news/tenant-advocacy-groups-set-toreceive-funding-under-right-to-counsel-program/.

65 Mojadad, "Tenant Right to Counsel Moves Forward."

- 66 No Eviction Without Representation Act of 2018, City and County of San Francisco (November 1, 2017), available at http://sfelections.sfgov.org/sites/default/files/Documents/ candidates/Legal_Text_No_Eviction_Without_Representation.pdf.
- 67 New Jersey Demographics, "New Jersey Cities by Population," available at https://www.newjersey-demographics. com/cities_by_population (last accessed September 2019).
- 68 Rebecca Panico, "Newark Struggles to Implement Free Legal Representation for Low-Income Residents Facing Eviction," TAP into Newark, April 3, 2019, available at https://www.tapinto.net/towns/newark/articles/newarkstruggles-to-implement-free-legal-representation-for-lowincome-residents-facing-eviction.
- 69 Rebecca Panico, "City Council Moves Ahead With Plan to Give Evicted Residents Free Legal Services," TAP into Newark, December 24, 2018, available at https://www.tapinto. net/towns/newark/articles/city-council-moves-aheadwith-plan-to-give-evicted-residents-free-legal-services.
- 70 The City of Newark, New Jersey, Legislative Research Center, "An Ordinance to Amend and Supplement Title XIX, of the Revised General Ordinances of the City of Newark, New Jersey, 2000, by Creating a New Chapter, Establishing Access to Free Legal Representation to Newark's Low-Income Residents Facing Eviction in Land," December 12, 2018, available at https://newark.legistar.com/LegislationDetail. aspx?ID=3600177&GUID=706512AB-9068-4508-8193-1F1 C1293ABE5&Options=&Search=&FullText=1.
- 71 Jared Brey, "Tenants' Right to Counsel on the Move, Next Stop Newark," Next City, January 10, 2019, available at https://nextcity.org/daily/entry/tenants-right-to-counselon-the-move-next-stop-newark.
- 72 Rutgers Law Center, "Newark Residents Facing Eviction Can Get Help Through Right-to-Counsel Ordinance First Suggested by Rutgers Law Center," Press release, June 27, 2019, available at https://law.rutgers.edu/news/newarkresidents-facing-eviction-can-get-help-through-rightcounsel-ordinance-first-suggested.
- 73 Rebecca Panico, "Newark Council Concerned Over Price Tag of 'Free' Lawyers for Low-Income Residents Facing Eviction," TAP into Newark, June 7, 2019, available at https:// www.tapinto.net/towns/newark/sections/law-and-justice/ articles/newark-council-concerned-over-price-tag-of-freelawyers-for-low-income-residents-facing-eviction.
- 74 Michela Zonta and Sarah Edelman, "Toward Jobs and Justice: Where You Live Matters" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2017), available at https:// www.americanprogress.org/issues/economy/reports/2017/01/09/296114/toward-jobs-and-justice/; Rejane Frederick, "Home Is Where the Health Is: How Cities and States Can Address the Affordable Housing Crisis" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2018), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/ news/2018/01/24/445321/home-is-where-the-health-is/.
- 75 Anthony Cilluffo, A.W. Geiger, and Richard Fry, "More U.S. households are renting than at any point in 50 years," Fact Tank, July 19, 2017, available at https://www.pewresearch. org/fact-tank/2017/07/19/more-u-s-households-arerenting-than-at-any-point-in-50-years/.
- 76 Alison Bell, Barbara Sard, and Becky Koepnick, "Prohibiting Discrimination Against Renters Using Housing Vouchers Improves Results" (Washington: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 2018), available at https://www.cbpp.org/ research/housing/prohibiting-discrimination-againstrenters-using-housing-vouchers-improves-results.